

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	ION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
.09/622,523		12/07/2000	Mikael Isaksson	S1022 /8518	7223	
7590 10/03/2003				EXAMINER		
James H Mo			PHU, PHUONG M			
Wolf Greenfield & Sacks Federal Reserve Plaza 600 Atlantic Avenue Boston, MA 02210-2211				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2631	10	
				DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/622,523	ISAKSSON ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Phuong Phu	2631					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. 8 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 N	lovember 2002 .						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.						
 Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E Disposition of Claims 	nce except for formal matters, pr Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.					
4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20,23-27 and 30-32</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) <u>21,22,28 and 29</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	arriiri c i.						
<u> </u>	priority and a 25 H C C C 440/-) (4) - · · (5)					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(a) or (t).					
<u> </u>	have been received						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	ty documents have been receive						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/622,523

Art Unit: 2631

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is not in a single paragraph. a Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the disclosure is not written in a format as required in 37 CFR 1.77(b). Appropriate correction is required.
- 5. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.

Application/Control Number: 09/622,523

Art Unit: 2631

(c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.

(d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 1-16, 23 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1, 11, 23 and 30, parameters μ and N are not defined.

In claim 1, it appears that " μ 2" on line 13 should be " μ /2".

Claims (if any) depended on above claims are therefore also rejected.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/622,523

Art Unit: 2631

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 17-20 and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bingham (6,035,000).

As per claims 17, 24, 31 and 32, see figures 1 and 12, and col. 4, line 16 to col. 6, line 8 and col. 13, line 7 to col. 14, line 22, Bingham discloses a method and associated system (figure 12) comprising:

step/means (IFFT) for deriving a time domain symbol from plurality of frequency components of a frequency domain symbol;

step/means (FILTERING (46)) for reducing the frequency content of the time domain symbol wherein after the filtering, pulse shape of the time domain symbol inherently would be changed, and out-of-band interference and/or crosstalk from other adjacent channels (if occurred) would inherently be reduced (see col. 14, lines 13-15);

step/means (WINDOW (46)) for windowing the time domain symbol wherein the out-of-band interference and/or crosstalk inherently would be further reduced.

Bingham does not disclose that the step/means (WINDOW (46)) is performed after the step/means (FILTERING (46)). However, since both of these steps are for reducing out-of-band signals, it would have been obvious that one skilled in the art, when building Bingham invention, within his skills, could implement step/means (WINDOW (46)) is performed prior to or after the

Application/Control Number: 09/622,523 Page 5

Art Unit: 2631

step/means (FILTERING (46)), in order to reduce out-of-band signals, without changing the overall system performance.

Further regarding to claim 32, in Bingham, a transmit modem is inherently included for transmitting the time domain symbol on a transmission channel and a receiving modem is inherently included at the other end of the transmission channel for receiving the time domain symbol (see figure 1).

As per claims 18 and 25, Bingham discloses step/means (ADD CYCLIC PREFIX) for adding a cyclic extension the time domain symbol (see figure 12).

As per claims 19 and 26, Bingham discloses that step/means (ADD CYCLIC PREFIX) adds a cyclic prefix to the time domain symbol (see col. 14, lines 6-12).

As per claims 20 and 27, in Bingham, since that step/means (ADD CYCLIC PREFIX) is performed prior to step/means (WINDOW, FILTERING (46)), the cyclic extension of the time domain symbol inherently is pulse-shaped after the performance of step/means (WINDOW, FILTERING (46)).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 21, 22, 28 and 29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. Claims 1-16 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/622,523

Art Unit: 2631

12. Claims 23 and 30 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35

U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations

of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Phuong Phu whose telephone number is 703-308-0158. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-6:00) First Monday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on 703-306-3034. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

Phuong Phu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2631 Page 6

Phung Phu Phuong Phu 09/09/03

PHOUNG PHU PRIMARY EXAMINES